

March 26, 1975

out. I think is what Senator Warner wants so that this Department, each year, comes before you and justifies its expenditure, whether it's sales and income tax money or cash funds. Now Senator Syas, have I clarified it, or have I confused you? I'm in favor, as I understand, of the Warner motion. I would plead with you, as your proceed in these cash funds, unless you want to turn appropriating authority over to the departments that you continue to force them to put the money in the general fund and force them to justify every year, or they'll think they own the money.

PRESIDENT: The question is the adoption of the Warner amendment. Senator Syas.

SENATOR SYAS: Just to comment. One Senator came to me and he said we did just the opposite on the Burrows bill yesterday. I don't remember the bill. That was in the Agricultural park, as Senator Marvel was referring to. I didn't know what the bill was. I just vaguely remember one bill that we did just the opposite yesterday.

PRESIDENT: The question is the adoption of the Warner amendment to Senator Kremer's amendment. Record your vote. Record Mr. Clerk.

CLERK: 30 ayes, 0 nays.

PRESIDENT: The amendment is adopted. Senator Swigart, now we're back discussing the amendment discussed by Senator Kremer.

SENATOR SWIGART: Which is bill 259 and the amendments to it. I want to know, Mr. President, and colleagues, the time that I could speak on the bill, 259.

PRESIDENT: 10 minutes.

SENATOR SWIGART: No, I want to know when I can do that.

PRESIDENT: Well, you can do it after the amendment is adopted but I think, in fairness, I should remind you, Senator Swigart, that both Senator Kremer and Senator Anderson explained that the guts of the bill is in the amendment. So do as you please. Speak to the bill now or later.

SENATOR SWIGART: I am opposed to the amendments because I feel that they have the connotation of approval to 259 and I believe, lady and gentlemen, that this is a very dangerous road that we are taking and I have a high respect for Senator Kremer and his Committee and his sincerity. I know they mean well but I think to loosen up in any way on this 12 point system of penalty for violations for driving is a very dangerous thing because we noticed, for instance, in those 16 cases of where they had been pardoned after they got the 12 points that there is a set patterned. You know this doesn't just happen by an accident, by one incident. There is a rare occasion, however, when that is not true. For example, if you leave the scene of an accident in which you were involved, as I understand it, that's an automatic 12 point and a withdrawal of your license. Outside of that, almost every other violator in the state, this thing kind of creeps up on them.